# Kinetic Resolution of Vinyl Carbonates through a Lipase-Mediated Synthesis of their Carbonate and Carbamate Derivatives.

Marcos Pozo and Vicente Gotor\*

Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Oviedo, 33071 Oviedo, Spain

(Received in UK 28 July 1993, accepted 17 September 1993)

Keywords Vinyl carbonates, enzymatic alkoxycarbonylation, chiral carbonates, chiral carbamates, enantioselectivity

Abstract Lipase from <u>Candida aniarctica</u> was used in the synthesis of different chiral carbonates and carbamates through the enzymic resolution of the starting racemic vinyl carbonates. A striking feature was the changeover of the enantioselectivity with regard to the one showed when vinyl carbonates were used in the resolution of alcohols and amines, thus the S enantiomer of the vinyl carbonate was resolved whereas the same enzyme was selective towards R ones when resolving alcohols and amines. In this way, compounds obtained beforehand as R carbonates were now achieved as S ones with better e e's and shorter reaction times.

## INTRODUCTION

Carbonate and carbamate derivatives are either utilized in the synthesis of compounds with medical propierties, insecticides and peptides or moieties of them 1-8

On the other hand, and due to the interest of the carbamate group, 9 many reagents and synthetic procedures to achieve the alkoxycarbonylation of amines have been put forth. In general these processes involve specific reactions and, in some cases, poisonous reagents such as phosgene 10 or organometallic compounds 11 Ghosh et al. have developed two efficient synthesis of carbamates with disuccinimidal carbonate 12

Recently, we have developed the synthesis of chiral carbonates <sup>13</sup> and chiral carbamates <sup>14</sup> through a lipase-mediated resolution of a series of alcohols and amines with vinyl carbonates. Herein, as a part of our ongoing research on the field of alkoxycarbonylation reactions, we report another procedure for the synthesis of the aforementioned chiral derivatives. This method improves the enantioselectivity achieved in our previous papers <sup>13,14</sup> and it relies on the resolution of racemic vinyl carbonates with alcohols and amines to yield chiral carbonates and carbamates respectively

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.

Our synthetic strategy relied on the ability of CAL (Candida antarctica lipase) to catalyze reactions between vinyl carbonates and alcohols <sup>13</sup> and amines <sup>14</sup> As in our previous work, <sup>14</sup> we decided to carry out reactions in a non-polar solvent like hexane. The racemic vinyl carbonates 2, 3, and 4 were prepared from the appropriate racemic alcohol and vinyl chloroformate

The alkoxycarbonylation procedure was applied to a series of alcohols 5, n-octanol, n-butanol and benzyl alcohol. The reaction of different racemic vinyl carbonates with these nucleophiles were carried out in hexane (Scheme I) and the results are shown in the Table I

It is noteworthy that the enzyme was enantioselective towards the S enantiomer. Thus, this methodology shows a complementary role to the resolution of alcohols through an enzymic alkoxycarbonylation aforementioned, where the same enzyme was enantioselective towards the R alcohols  $^{13}$ 

Table I. Carbonates 6 from 2, 3, 4, and alcohols 5

Entry	R	R1	Tıme,h	Conv (%)a	$[\alpha]_D^{25}(c)^b$	e e %(Conf)
6a	n-Hexyl	n-Octyl	4	40	+4 1 (0 7)	93 (S)
6 b	n-Hexyl	$PhCH_2$	5	45	+10 3 (1 1)	96 (S)
6 c	n-Hexyl	n-Butyl	5	48	+3 5 (0 6)	81 (S)
6 d	Ph	n-Octyl	6	42	-49 1 (1 4)	92 (S)
6 e	Ph	$PhCH_2$	4	40	-62 9 (0 9)	95 (S)
6 f	Ph	n-Butyl	5	52	-57 8 (0 7)	86 (S)
6 g	Ethyl	n-Octyl	10	50	+60 (10)	98 (S)
6 h	Ethyl	PhCH <sub>2</sub>	9	50	+9 8 (0 4)	93 (S)
6i	Ethyl	n-Butyl	10	51	+11 1 (1 2)	90 (S)

a Calculated with respect to the carbonate 2, 3 or 4 by NMR

b In chloroform

The same series of racemic vinyl carbonates was faced to *n*-octylamine, *n*-butylamine and benzylamine under the same reaction conditions (Scheme I)

The results achieved are depicted in Table II As in the synthesis of the above carbonates, the enzyme was again selective towards the S enantiomer of the vinyl carbonate (see Table II)

Table II. Carbamates 8 from vinyl carbonates 2, 3 and 4, and amines 7

Entry	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Time,h	Conv (%)a	$[\alpha]_D^{25}$ (c)	e e %(Conf)
8a	n-Hexyl	n-Octyl	6	47	+7 0 (1 4)	70 (S)
8 b	n-Hexyl	PhCH <sub>2</sub>	4	43	+14 3 (0 4)	98 (S)
8 c	n-Hexyl	n-Butyl	5	46	+8 8 (0 7)	84 (S)
8 d	Ph	n-Octyl	3	41	-21 1 (1 0)	85 (S)
8 e	Ph	$PhCH_2$	4	47	-14 1 (0 5)	97 (S)
8 f	Ph	n-Butyl	3	45	-29 4 (0 8)	93 (S)
8 g	Ethyl	n-Octyl	9	49	+11 9 (1 3)	96 (S)
8 h	Ethyl	PhCH <sub>2</sub>	8	49	+12 9 (0 3)	99 (S)
8i	Ethyl	n-Butyl	7	50	+14 8 (0 6)	93 (S)

a Calculated with respect to the carbonate 2, 3 or 4 by NMR

From the data of preceding Table II, we can see that the lowest e e was achieved in case 8a, which is in aggreement with our previous conclusions about alkoxycarbonylation of racemic amines 14

When the Table I results are compared with data previously reported, <sup>13</sup> in cases **6a** and **6b** e e 's are comparable and reaction times were shortened noticeably. These times, too decreased in cases **6e**, **6g** and **6h** but the e e 's achieved were higher than the ones of the former cited paper. Thus, it is a hard fact that the methodology pointed out throughout this work is an improvement over our preceding research.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

Herein we report an improvement in the synthesis of chiral carbonates and carbamates. The e e 's and the reaction times are noteworthy since the former ones are higher and latter ones are shorter than in our previously reported procedures. This method overcomes some of the operational problems of chemical methods.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

We used an immobilized lipase from Candida antarctica SP 435A (CAL) (gifted by Novo Nordisk) All reagents were of commercial produced quality and were purchased from Aldrich Chemie Solvents were distilled over an adequate

b In chloroform

desiccant and stored under argon For column chromatography, Merck silica gel 60/230-400 mesh was used Optical rotations were measured using a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a Mattson 3000 Infrared Fourier Transform spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR were obtained with TMS (tetramethylsilane) as internal standard, using a Bruker AC-300 (<sup>1</sup>H-300 MHz and <sup>13</sup>C-75 5 MHz) spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard 5897 A spectrometer. Microanalyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 240B elemental analyzer.

Determination of enantiomeric excess and absolute configuration was as follows. All the e.e. and configurations were calculated in comparison with the optically active carbonates previously prepared to our preceding paper <sup>13</sup> New optically active carbonates were synthetized from the appropriate chiral alcohol and chloroformate, carbamates were prepared with the adequate chiral amine and alcohol according the methodology developed by Ghosh <sup>14a</sup> (All these compounds gave satisfactory <sup>1</sup>H-, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR and mass spectra)

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 2, 3 and 4: vinyl chloroformate (50 mmol) was slowly added to a solution of the appropriate racemic alcohol (35 mmol) in dry pyridine (4 mL) under argon at O° C. The solution was stirred for 2h and then was acidified with HCl (3N) and extracted with dichloromethane, the organic layer was dried over sodium sulphate and submitted to flash chromatography on silica using hexane-ethyl ether 95.5. The final yields were 80%, 88% and 75% for 2, 3 and 4 respectly

# Characterization of products 2, 3 and 4:

- (±)-2-Octylvinyl carbonate (2): oil,  $R_f$ =0 55 (Hexane ethyl ether 95 5), IR (neat)  $v_{c=0}$ = 1757 cm  $^1$ , (found C, 66 01, H, 10 05  $C_{11}H_{20}O_3$  requires C, 65 95, H, 10 07),  $^1H$ -NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) 7 10 (dd, J= 6 2, 13 9 Hz, 1H, CH), 4 90 (dd, J=1 95, 13 9 Hz, 1H, CH), 4 80 (m, 1H, CH), 4 55 (dd, J= 1 95, 6 2 Hz, 1H, CH), 1 70-1 50 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 30 (m, 11H), 0 85 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>),  $^{13}C$ -NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) 152 26 (C=O), 142 55 (CH), 97 20 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 76 34 (CH), 35 64 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31 59 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28 95 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 25 09 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22 46 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19 70 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 13 93 (CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 157 (<1), 112 (22 30), 71 (100 00), 57 (93 00), 43 (44 45)
- (±)-1-Phenylethylvinyl carbonate (3): oil,  $R_f$ =0 46 (Hexane ethyl ether 95 5), IR (neat)  $v_{c=0}$ = 1759 cm <sup>1</sup>, (found C, 68 79, H, 6 28 C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 68 72, H, 6 30), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 7 40 (m, 5H, ar ), 7 10 (dd, 1H, CH), 5 80 (q, 1H, CH), 4 90 (dd, 1H, CH), 4,50 (dd, 1H, CH), 1 60 (d, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 151 92 (C=O), 142 46 (CH), 140 29 (C), 128 49 (2CH), 128 24 (CH), 125 96 (2CH), 97 43 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 77 11 (CH), 22 02 (CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 177 (<1), 149 (<1), 105 (100 00), 77 (18 77)
- (±)-2-Butylvinyl carbonate (4): oil,  $R_f$ =0.53 (Hexane ethyl ether 95.5), IR (neat)  $v_{c=0}$ = 1758 cm<sup>-1</sup>, (found C, 58.24, H, 8.41  $C_7H_{12}O_3$  requires C, 58.30, H, 8.39), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) 7.20 (dd, 1H, CH), 4.90 (dd, 1H, CH), 4.70 (m, 1H, CH), 4.55 (dd, 1H, CH), 1.60 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.3 (d, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.95 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) 152.19 (C=O), 142.49 (CH), 97.04 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 77.27 (CH), 28.46 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 19.04 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 9.31 (CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 144 (M+, <1), 129 (3.19), 115 (16.51), 57 (100.00), 41 (45.87)

Synthesis of carbonates 6a-6i. General procedure: To a solution of racemic carbonates 2, 3 or 4 (1 mmol) and alcohol (0 6mmol) in hexane (15 mL) with molecular sieve (4Å) (1 5 g), CAL (100 mg) was added (see Table I) The reaction was monitored by TLC and was terminated by filtering off the enzyme. The organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and chromatographic separation on silica gel of the resulting residue gave the carbonate. Compounds 6a, 6b, 6e, 6g and 6h were characterized in a foregoing paper 13

S-(+)-n-Butyl-2-octyl carbonate (6c): oil, R  $_{f}$ =0 47 (Hexane ethyl ether 95 5), IR (neat)  $\upsilon_{c=0}$ = 1744 cm<sup>-1</sup>, (found C, 67 87, H, 11 35 C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 67 77, H, 11 38), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) 4 75 (m, 1H, CH), 4 15 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 65 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 55-1 20 (m, 15H), 1 00-0 8 (m, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) 154 86 (C=O), 74 95 (CH), 67 28 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 35 74 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31 56 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30 53 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28 92 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 25 12 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22 39 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19 72 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 13 85 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 13 48 (CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 112 (23 36), 71 (41 28), 57 (100 00)

S-(-)-n-Octyl-1-phenylethyl carbonate (6d): oil, R  $_{f}$ =0 62 (Hexane ethyl ether 9 1), IR (neat)  $v_{c=0}$ = 1745 cm 1, (found C, 73 55, H, 9 38 C $_{17}$ H $_{26}$ O $_{3}$  requires C, 73 33, H, 9 42),  $^{1}$ H-NMR (CDCl $_{3}$ )  $\delta$ (ppm) 7 40 (m,5H, ar), 5 70 (q, J= 6 6 Hz, 1H, CH), 4 10 (m, 2H, CH $_{2}$ ), 1 65 (m, 5H, CH $_{3}$  and CH $_{2}$ ), 1 30 (m, 10H, 5CH $_{2}$ ), 0 90 (t, 3H, CH $_{3}$ ),  $^{13}$ C-NMR (CDCl $_{3}$ )  $\delta$ (ppm) 154 56 (C=O), 141 04 (C), 128 42 (2CH), 127 97 (CH), 125 90 (2CH), 76 08 (CH), 67 99 (CH $_{2}$ ), 31 63 (CH $_{2}$ ), 29 04 (2CH $_{2}$ ), 28 52 (CH $_{2}$ ), 25 57 (CH $_{2}$ ), 22 51 (CH $_{2}$ ), 22 25 (CH $_{3}$ ), 13 97 (CH $_{3}$ ), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 278 (M+), 122 (56 13), 105 (92 72), 104 (100 00), 77 (22 44)

S-(-)-n-Butyl-1-phenylethyl carbonate (6f): oil,  $R_1$ =0 55(Hexane ethyl ether 9 1), IR (neat)  $\upsilon_{c=0}$ = 1744 cm<sup>-1</sup>, (found C, 70 32, H, 8 15 C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 70 23, H, 8 17), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 7 35 (m, 5H, ar), 5 70 (q, J= 6 6 Hz, 1H, CH), 4 10 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 70-1 60 (m, 5H, CH<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>), 1 40 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0 90 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 154 56 (C=O), 141 04 (C), 128 42 (2CH), 127 97 (CH), 125 90 (2CH), 76 08 (CH), 67 69 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30 50 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22 25 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18 79 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 13 55 (CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 222 (M+, 9 90), 122 (44 30), 105 (100 00), 104 (96 67), 77 (23 26)

S-(+)-2-Butyl-n-butyl carbonate (61): oil,  $R_f$ =0.7 (Hexane ethyl ether 9.1), IR (neat)  $v_{c=0}$ = 1743 cm <sup>1</sup>, (found C, 61.96, H, 10.45 C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 62.02, H, 10.42), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 4.70 (m, 1H, CH), 4.15 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.65 (m, 4H, 2CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.40 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.25 (d, J= 6.02 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.95 (m, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 154.92 (C=O), 76.17 (CH), 67.37 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.56 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.62 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 19.24 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.81 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 13.52 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 9.49 (CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 159 (M+-15, <1), 118 (3.08), 57 (100.00), 41 (34.32)

Synthesis of carbamates 8a-8i. General procedure: To a solution of the racemic carbonates (2), (3) or (4) (1 mmol) and amine (0 6 mmol) in hexane (15 mL) with molecular sieve (1g), CAL (100mg) was added (see Table II) The reaction was followed by TLC and was finished by filtering off the enzyme. The organic solvent was evaporated and flash chromatography of the resulting residue yielded the carbamate

S-(+)-N-Octyl-2-Octyl carbamate (8a): oil, R<sub>f</sub>=0.5 (Hexane ethyl ether 7 3), IR (neat)  $v_{c=0}$ = 1692 cm <sup>1</sup>, (found C, 71.59, H, 12.34, N, 4.92 C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>35</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> requires C, 71.51, H, 12.37, N, 4.91), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 4.80 (m, 1H, CH), 4.55 (bs, 1H, NH), 3.15 (q, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.50 (m, 4H, 2CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.30 (m, 18H, 9CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.15 (d, 3H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.90 (t, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 156.45 (C=O), 71.19 (CH), 40.86 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.23 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.72 (2CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.98 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.18 (2CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.14 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.69 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 25.31 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.57 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.52 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 20.26 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 14.01 (2CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 285 (M+), 186 (1.69), 174 (84.98), 112 (25.58), 71 (100.00), 43 (41.10)

S-(+)-N-Benzyl-2-octyl carbamate (8b): white solid, mp 45-46° C,  $R_f$ =0 31 (Hexane ethyl ether 7 3), IR (neat)  $v_{c=0}$ = 1692 cm<sup>-1</sup>, (found C, 72 80, H, 9 58, N, 5 33  $C_{16}H_{25}NO_2$  requires C, 72 95, H, 9 57, N, 5 32), <sup>1</sup>H-

NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 7 30 (m, 5H, ar), 5 00 (bs, 1H, NH), 4 80 (m, 1H, CH), 4 30 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 50 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 40-1 20 (m, 11H), 0 85 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 156 43 (C=O), 138 68 (C), 128 50 (2CH), 127 36 (2CH), 127,26 (CH), 71 59 (CH), 44 86 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 36 16 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31 67 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29 07 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 25 25 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22 48 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 20 21 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 13 98 (CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 263 (M+, 1 35), 151 (56 56), 150 (100 00), 106 (22 39), 91 (38 83)

S-(+)-N-Butyl-2-octyl carbamate (8c): oil, R <sub>f</sub>=0 34 (Hexane ethyl ether 7 3), IR (neat)  $\upsilon_{c=0}=1694$  cm <sup>1</sup>, (found C, 67 94, H, 11 91, N, 6 09 C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> requires C, 68 06, H, 11 87, N, 6 11), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 4 80 (m, 1H, CH), 4 65 (bs, 1H, NH), 3 15 (q, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 60-1 20 (m, 14H, 7CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 15 (d, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0 90 (m, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 156 46 (C=O), 71 15 (CH), 40 52 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 36 20 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32 04 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31 68 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29 10 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 25 28 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22 49 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 20 22 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 19 81 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 13 97 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 13 64 (CH<sub>3</sub>) MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 229 (M+, 1 54), 186 (6 49), 118 (100 00), 71 (16 86)

S-(-)-N-Octyl-1-phenylethyl carbamate (8d): oil, R<sub>f</sub>=0 39 (Hexane ethyl ether 7 3), IR (neat)  $v_{c=0} = 1695$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, (found C, 73 70, H, 9 85, N, 5 03 C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> requires C, 73 59, H, 9 82, N, 5 05), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 7 40 (m, 5H, ar), 5 80 (q, J= 6 5 Hz, 1H, CH), 4 85 (bs, 1H, NH), 3 15 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 50 (m, 5H, CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 35-1 20 (m, 10 H, 5CH<sub>2</sub>), 0 85 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 155 82 (C=O), 142 14 (C), 128 26 (2CH), 127 51 (CH), 125 82 (2CH), 72 32 (CH), 40 85 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31 63 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29 81 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29 08 (2CH<sub>2</sub>), 26 61 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22 51 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22 30 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 13 97 (CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI,70 eV), m/z 277 (M+, 2 04), 122 (92 40), 105 (100 00), 104 (20 82), 77 (18 69)

S-(-)-N-benzyl-1-phenylethyl carbamate (8e): oil, R  $_{\rm f}$ =0 26 (Hexane ethyl ether 7 3), IR (neat)  $\upsilon_{\rm c=0}$ = 1690 cm<sup>-1</sup>, (found C, 75 32, H, 6 73, N, 5 48 C  $_{\rm 16}$ H $_{\rm 17}$ NO  $_{\rm 2}$  requires C, 75 26, H, 6 72, N, 5 49),  $^{\rm 1}$ H-NMR (CDCl  $_{\rm 3}$ ) δ(ppm) 7 40-7 20 (m, 10H, ar), 5 85 (q, J= 6 6 Hz, 1H, CH), 5 10 (bs, 1H, NH), 4 30 (d, 2H, CH $_{\rm 2}$ ), 1 55 (d, J=6 6 Hz, 3H, CH $_{\rm 3}$ ),  $^{\rm 13}$ C-NMR (CDCl $_{\rm 3}$ ) δ (ppm) 155 85 (C=O), 141 97 (C), 138 34 (C), 128 50 (2CH), 128 33 (2CH), 127 63 (CH), 127 39 (2CH), 127 31 (CH), 125 86 (2CH), 72 81 (CH), 44 89 (CH $_{\rm 2}$ ), 22 36 (CH $_{\rm 3}$ ), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 255 (M+, 2 11), 122 (50,21), 105 (100 00), 77 (48 45)

S-(-)-N-Butyl-1-phenylethyl carbamate (8f): oil, R  $_{\rm f}$ =0 23 (Hexane ethyl ether 7 3), IR (neat)  $v_{\rm c=0}$ = 1693 cm<sup>-1</sup>, (found C, 70 60, H, 8 64, N, 6 34 C $_{13}$ H $_{19}$ NO $_{2}$  requires C, 70 54, H, 8 66, N, 6 33),  $^{1}$ H-NMR (CDCl $_{3}$ )  $\delta$ (ppm) 7 35 (m, 5H, ar), 5 80 (q, J= 6 6 Hz, 1H, CH), 4 80 (bs, 1H, NH), 3 15 (m, 2H, CH $_{2}$ ), 1 60 (d, J= 6 6 Hz, 3H, CH $_{3}$ ), 1 45 (m, 2H, CH $_{2}$ ), 1 30 (m, 2H, CH $_{2}$ ), 0 90 (t, 3H, CH $_{3}$ ),  $^{13}$ C-NMR (CDCl $_{3}$ )  $\delta$ (ppm) 155 84 (C=O), 142 15 (C), 128 30 (2CH), 127 55 (CH), 125 85 (2CH), 72 36 (CH), 40 56 (CH $_{2}$ ), 31 89 (CH $_{2}$ ), 22 32 (CH $_{3}$ ), 19 76 (CH $_{2}$ ), 13 61 (CH $_{3}$ ), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 221 (M+), 122 (64 14), 105 (100 00), 77 (15 88)

S-(+)-N-Octyl-2-butyl carbamate (8g) oil, R<sub>f</sub>=0 43 (Hexane ethyl ether 7 3), IR (neat)  $v_{c=0}$ = 1694 cm <sup>1</sup>, (found C, 67 99, H, 11 89, N, 6 10 C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> requires C, 68 06, H, 11 87, N, 6 11), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 4 70 (m, 2H, NH and CH), 3 15 (q, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 60-1 20 (m, 14H, 7CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 15 (d, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0 90 (m, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 156 42 (C=O), 72 20 (CH), 40 80 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31 66 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29 91 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 29 12 (2CH<sub>2</sub>), 28 98 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26 64 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22 52 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 19 67 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 13 97 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 9 57 (CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 229 (M+, 1 39), 214

(M+-15), 174 (31 56), 130 (37 08), 57 (100 00), 41 (34 41)

S-(+)-N-Benzyl-2-butyl carbamate (8h): oil, R <sub>f</sub>=0 27 (Hexane ethyl ether 7 3), IR (neat)  $\upsilon_{c=0}=1692$  cm <sup>1</sup>, (found C, 69 60, H, 8 18, N, 6 78 C  $_{12}$ H $_{17}$ NO $_2$  requires C, 69 52, H, 8 27, N, 6 76), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl  $_3$ ) δ(ppm) 7 30 (m, 5H, ar ), 5 15 (bs, 1H, NH), 4 75 (m, 1H, CH), 4 35 (d, 2H, CH  $_2$ ), 1 55 (m, 2H, CH  $_2$ ), 1 20 (d, 3H, CH  $_3$ ), 0 85 (t, 3H, CH  $_3$ ), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl  $_3$ ) δ(ppm) 156 52 (C=O), 138 57 (C), 128 45 (2CH), 127 31 (2CH), 127 22 (CH), 72 72 (CH), 44 77 (CH  $_2$ ), 28 92 (CH  $_2$ ), 19 63 (CH  $_3$ ), 9 54 (CH  $_3$ ), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 207 (M+, 3 11), 150 (100 00), 91 (64 43), 79 (13 45), 57 (9 89)

S-(+)-N-Butyl-2-butyl carbamate (81): oil, R <sub>f</sub>=0 32 (Hexanc ethyl ether 7 3), IR (neat)  $\upsilon_{c=0}=1694$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, (found C, 62 43, H, 11 05, N, 8 12 C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> requires C, 62 38, H, 11 06, N, 8 09), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 4 70 (bs, 2H, CH and NH), 3 15 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 70-1 25 (m, 6H, 3CH<sub>2</sub>), 1 20 (d, J=6 2 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0 90 (m, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm) 156 42 (C=O), 72 19 (CH), 40 47 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31 98 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28 97 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 19 78 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 19 66 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 13 61 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 9 57 (CH<sub>3</sub>), MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z 173 (M+, 3 84), 158 (M+-15), 118 (54 37), 100 (47 76), 57 (100 00), 41 (30 42)

```
R-(-)-n-Butyl-2-octyl carbonate: [\alpha]_D^{25} = -4 \ 3 \ (c=1 \ 4 \ CHCl_3)
R-(+)-n-Octyl-1-phenylethyl carbonate: [\alpha]_D^{25} = +53 \ 4 \ (c=1 \ 1 \ CHCl_3)
R-(+)-n-Butyl-1-phenylethyl carbonate: [\alpha]_D^{25} = +67 \ 2 \ (c=0 \ 9 \ CHCl_3)
R-(-)-n-Butyl-2-butyl carbonate: [\alpha]_D^{25} = -12 \ 3 \ (c=1 \ 3 \ CHCl_3)
S-(+)-N-Octyl-2-octyl carbamate: [\alpha]_D^{25} = +10 \ 0 \ (c=0 \ 7 \ CHCl_3)
R-(-)-N-Benzyl-2-octyl carbamate: [\alpha]_D^{25} = -14 \ 6 \ (c=2 \ 1 \ CHCl_3)
R-(-)-N-Octyl-1-phenylethyl carbamate: [\alpha]_D^{25} = +24 \ 8 \ (c=0 \ 8 \ CHCl_3)
R-(+)-N-Benzyl-1-phenylethyl carbamate: [\alpha]_D^{25} = +14 \ 6 \ (c=1 \ 6 \ CHCl_3)
R-(+)-N-Butyl-1-phenylethyl carbamate: [\alpha]_D^{25} = +31 \ 5 \ (c=1 \ 2 \ CHCl_3)
R-(+)-N-Octyl-2-butyl carbamate: [\alpha]_D^{25} = -12 \ 4 \ (c=1 \ 0 \ CHCl_3)
```

**R-(-)-N-Benzyl-2-butyl** carbamate:  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -13 \text{ l } (c=0 \text{ 9 CHCl}_3)$ **R-(-)-N-Butyl-2-butyl** carbamate:  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -15 \text{ 9 } (c=0 \text{ 7 CHCl}_3)$ 

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Financial support of this work by CICYT (project BIO92-0751), is gratefully acknowledged MP is the recipient of a predoctoral fellowship from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, which is acknowledged We also thank the Novo Nordisk Co for the generous donation of the lipase

# REFERENCES

- Sieh, W-R, Gou, D-M and Chen, C-S J J Chem Soc, Chem Comm 1991, 651 Chen, C-S, Gou, D-M, Shieh, W-R and Liu, Y-C Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 3281
- 2 (a) Matassa, V G, Maduskuie, T P, Shapiro, H S, Hesp, B, Snyder, D W, Aharony, D, Krell, R D and Keith, R A J Med Chem 1990, 33, 1781, (b) Firestone, R A, Pisano, J M, Falck, J R, McPhaul, M M and Krieger, M J Med Chem 1984, 27, 1037
- 3 Mitomycin C, Current Status and New Developments, Carter, S K, Crooke, S T, Eds, Academic Press New York, 1979
- 4 Ghosh, AK, McKee, SP, Thompson, WJ, Darke, PL and Zugay, JC J Org Chem 1993, 58, 1025
- 5 Alexander, J., Cargill, R., Michelson, S.R. and Schwam, H. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 318
- 6 Allen, R. C., Fink, D. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2103
- 7 Khur, R J and Dorough, H W, Carbamate Insecticides Chemistry, Biochemistry and Toxicology, C R C Press, Cleveland, Ohio, 1976
- 8 Bodanszky, M, in Principles of Peptide Synthesis, Hafner, Rees, Trost, Lehn, von Ragué Schleyer, Zahradnik (eds), Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984, p 82
- 9 Shute, R E and Rich, D H Synthesis 1987, 346
- 10 Denarié, M, Grenouillat, D, Malfroot, T, Senet, J, Sennyey, G and Wolf, P Tetrahedron Lett 1987, 28, 5823
- 11 Bruneau, C, Dixneuf, P H Tetrahedron Lett 1987, 28, 2005
- (a) Ghosh, A K, Duong, T T, McKee, S P and Thompson, W J Tetrahedron Lett 1992, 33, 2781
  (b) Ghosh, A K, McKee, S P, Duong, T T and Thompson, W J J Chem Soc, Chem Comm 1992, 1308
- 13 Pozo, M, Pulido, R and Gotor, V Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 6477
- 14 Pozo, M and Gotor, V Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 4321